

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|----------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/483,561 | | 01/14/2000 | Melvin M. Takata | CITI0144 | 8542 |
| 27510 | 7590 | 08/27/2002 | | | |
| KILPATR | CK STO | CKTON LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 607 14TH S | TREET, N | 1.W. | AHMED, SAMIR ANWAR | | |
| SUITE 900 WASHING | TON DC | 20005 | | | |
| | 1011,00 | 20003 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 2623 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 08/27/2002 | \wp |
| | | | | | C |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Period for Reply

Application No. -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Lans MONTH(S) FROM A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE _ 3d

| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)□ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | · | | | | |
| 2a) 🗌 | This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action | , | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte | cept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| | tion of Claims | | | | | |
| | | is/are pending in the application. | | | | |
| , 4 | la) Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) 🗆 | Claim(s) | is/are allowed. | | | | |
| | Claim(s) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| 7) 🗆 | Claim(s) | is/are objected to. | | | | |
| 8)🔀 | Claims | is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12)□ | 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. | | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| _ | | Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6} Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6} | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Serial Number: 09/483,561 Page 2

Art Unit: 2623

RESTRICTION

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-15 and 27-41 are drawn to a method and a computer system for identifying a presented individual by determining a match between a presented image metric and a reference metric classified in class 382, subclass 115.
 - II. Claims 16-26, 42-48, are drawn to a method and a computer system for identifying a presented individual by determining a match between a characteristic of a presented iris and a characteristic of a reference iris of a known individual classified in class 382, subclass 117.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions in Group I and Group II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the broadest combination claim i.e. claim 1 does not recite the elements found in the broadest subcombination claim i.e. claim 16. The subcombination has separate utility such as an iris idetification system.

Serial Number: 09/483,561

Art Unit: 2623

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to George Marcou on 8/15/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventor ship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventor ship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samir Ahmed whose telephone number is (703) 305-9870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amelia Au, can be reached on (703) 308-6604. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Art Unit: 2623

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

SA

8/19/02

SAMIR AHMED
PRIMARY EXAMINER